Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/791,403	MAUER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven R. Garland	2125
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Steven R. Garland</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Falcoff</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 May 2006	Time: morning	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 15,34,35		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Slow A Gald		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed to make certain changes to claims 15,34, and 35 to place the application in better from for allowance. Mr. Falcoff agreed to the proposed changes. The examiner also requested that a terminal disclaimer be filed for the parent patents and the related copending application. Mr. Falcoff agreed to file the required terminal disclaimers for the copending application and the parent patents 09/862,688; 6,276,050; 6,502,008; and 7,024,270.